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Background. Diastasis recti abdominis affects a significant number of women during the prenatal and postnatal period.

Objective. The objective was to evaluate the effect of a postpartum training program on prevalence of diastasis recti abdominis.

Design. The design was a secondary analysis of an assessor-masked randomized controlled trial.

Methods. One hundred and seventy-five primiparous women (mean age 29.8±4.1) were randomized to an exercise or control group. The interrectus distance was palpated using finger widths with a cut-off point for diastasis as ≥ 2 finger widths. Measures were taken 4.5 above, at, and 4.5 cm below the umbilicus. The 4-month intervention started 6-weeks postpartum and consisted of a weekly, supervised exercise class focusing on strength training of the pelvic floor muscles. In addition, the women were asked to perform daily pelvic floor muscle training at home. The control group received no intervention. Analyses were based on intention-to-treat. Mantel-Haenszel (relative risk ratio) and Chi Square test for independence were used to evaluate between-group differences on categorical data. P value was set to <.05.

Results. At 6 weeks postpartum, 55.2 % and 54.5 % of the participants were diagnosed with diastasis in the intervention and control groups, respectively. No significant differences between groups in prevalence were found at baseline (RR: 1.01 [0.77-1.32]), at 6 months (RR: 0.99 [0.71, 1.38]), or at 12 months postpartum (RR: 1.04 [0.73, 1.49]).
**Limitations.** The interrecti distance was palpated using finger widths and the sample included women with and without diastasis.

**Conclusions.** A weekly, postpartum, supervised exercise program, including strength training of the pelvic floor and abdominal muscles, in addition to daily home training of the pelvic floor muscles, did not reduce prevalence of diastasis.

Diastasis recti abdominis (DRA) is defined as an impairment with midline separation of the 2 rectus abdominis muscles along the linea alba\(^1\). The condition is highly prevalent during the last trimester of pregnancy\(^2,3\) and in the postpartum period\(^4,5\). To date, there is no consensus about risk factors for development of DRA during pregnancy and in the postpartum period. Older age, multiparity, caesarean section, gestational weight gain, high birth weight, multiple pregnancy and childcare have been proposed\(^3,5-8\). There is also scant knowledge on the consequences of DRA\(^2,3,9,10\). It has been postulated that DRA, in addition to being a cosmetic concern for many women, may reduce low back and pelvic stability, cause low back- and pelvic girdle pain and be related to pelvic floor dysfunctions such as urinary incontinence, anal incontinence and pelvic organ prolapse\(^6,10\).

Physical therapists commonly treat pregnant and postpartum women with DRA. Keeler et al\(^11\) reported that women’s health physical therapists in the U.S. applied a myriad of different exercises and manual techniques to treat patients referred with DRA as the primary diagnosis or secondary to other postpartum diagnoses. The 3 most frequently used interventions were strengthening exercises of m. transversus abdominis (TrA), pelvic floor muscle (PFM) training and the “Elizabeth Noble technique,” which involves manipulation of the rectus muscle bellies while the patient performs a partial sit-up.\(^9\) Several studies have shown that correct PFM contractions cause co-contractions of the abdominal muscles\(^12-15\).
Hence, it has been suggested that PFM contractions can be used to train the TrA.\textsuperscript{15} However, to date, there is scant evidence for the effect of any exercise programs in prevention and treatment of DRA. In a systematic review, Benjamin et al\textsuperscript{16} found 8 studies that addressed treating DRA. All included abdominal and 1 included PFM training. However, only 1 study with a small population was a randomized controlled trial (RCT)\textsuperscript{17}. They concluded that there was no consensus on which abdominal exercises to recommend in order to narrow the diastasis\textsuperscript{16}. A new search on PubMed revealed only 3 additional RCTs\textsuperscript{18-20}. These studies vary in methodological quality and content of the intervention, and to date there are no clear conclusions that can be made on the effect of the different exercises on DRA.

The aim of the present study was to evaluate the effect of a 16-week supervised postpartum exercise program focusing on PFM training, in addition to daily home exercise of the PFM, on the prevalence of DRA in primiparous women.

METHODS

Trial design

This is a secondary analysis of a 2-armed RCT in which the primary aim was to evaluate the effect of PFM training on urinary incontinence\textsuperscript{21}. The study was conducted at Akershus University Hospital, Norway, from February 2010 to May 2012. The present study evaluated the effect of the 16 weeks postpartum exercise program on the prevalence of DRA immediately after cessation of the intervention and at follow-up 12 months postpartum.

Participants

Participants were recruited from a cohort study at the project hospital or in conjunction with the routine medical visit 6 weeks after delivery. Inclusion criteria included primiparous women who had given birth
vaginally to a singleton infant after more than 32 weeks of gestation and who were able to understand Scandinavian languages. Women presenting with 3rd and 4th degree perineal tears after vaginal delivery, serious illness to mother or child, and women having their child delivered by caesarean section were excluded.

The Postpartum Training Program

The intervention started 6 weeks postpartum and consisted of 1 weekly supervised exercise class for 16 weeks. The classes lasted for 45 minutes and were led by 3 experienced physical therapists, 2 at the university hospital and 1 in a private institute. The main focus of the exercise protocol was to strengthen the PFM, but the program also contained strengthening exercises for the abdominal-, back-, arm- and thigh muscles, stretching and relaxation. All exercises, except the PFM exercises, were performed to music. The protocol has been described in detail by Bø et al22,23, and Mørkved and Bø.24 The PFM exercises were performed in 5 different positions and 8-12 attempts of maximal contraction were conducted in each position. Each PFM contractions was held for 6-8 seconds, in addition to 3-4 fast contractions on top of the last 4-5 contractions. For progression, the women were instructed to increase the intensity of the contraction according to their perceived strength, always aiming for a close to maximal contraction. In addition, the women were asked to perform daily PFM training at home with 3 sets of 8-12 maximal contractions. The weekly exercise class included 3 sets of 8-12 contractions of different abdominal exercises, shown in Figure. 1. The physical therapists used a variation of these different exercises throughout the exercise period. Group session adherence was registered by the physical therapists and the participants recorded home exercise adherence in training diaries. The control group received no intervention beyond the initial instruction on how to contract the PFM correctly and the written information received at discharge from the hospital.
Outcome

The outcome for this study was the prevalence of women diagnosed with DRA at the cessation of the intervention (6 months postpartum) and at follow-up 12 months postpartum.

Background data and information about self-administered/non-interventional PFM- and abdominal training were collected through electronic questionnaires in advance of the 3 clinical assessment points (baseline at 6 weeks postpartum, post-test 6 months postpartum and follow-up 12 months postpartum). In the questionnaires, the women reported how often they performed PFM and abdominal training 6 weeks and 6 and 12 months postpartum. For the abdominal training response categories included; "never", "1-3 times per/month", "once a week", "2 times/week" or "3 times or more/week". In the analysis the categories were merged into women training 2 times per week or more versus less than 2 times/ per week. The response categories for the PFM training included; "never", "when I feel I need it", "at least once a week", "1-2 times/week", "at least 3 times/week" and "every day". For the analysis, the categories were merged into women training 3 times per week or more versus less than 3 times/ per week. Delivery data were collected from the hospital’s electronic medical birth records.

Two physical therapists (who were not teaching the class) performed the clinical examinations of all participants at baseline and 6 and 12 months postpartum. To measure DRA the inter-recti-distance was palpated using finger widths. This method has shown to have good intrarater reliability ($K_w = 0.70$) and a moderate inter-rater reliability ($K_w = 0.53$) in postpartum women. In the test situation, the women were in a standardized supine position with arms crossed over the chest. An abdominal crunch was performed until the shoulder blades were off the bench. Measures were taken 4.5 cm above, at and 4.5 cm below the umbilicus. DRA was diagnosed as present if the palpated separation at any of the 3 mentioned locations along linea alba was $\geq 2$ finger widths or if a protrusion along the linea alba was...
observed even if the palpated separation was < 2 finger widths\textsuperscript{2,26}. Based on the number of finger widths the findings were categorized into normal (< 2), mild DRA (2-3), moderate DRA (3-4) and severe DRA (≥ 4)\textsuperscript{7}. Mild, moderate and severe grades of DRA were merged into one category and the number of women with DRA was analyzed as a dichotomous variable (DRA/no DRA) in the main analysis evaluating the effect of the postpartum exercise program.

Ability to contract the PFM was assessed by observation of the perineum and vaginal palpation at the first clinical visit\textsuperscript{27}. The physical therapists assessing ability to contract were blinded to group allocation and were not involved in the exercise classes.

**Sample Size**

Power calculation was done for the primary analyses on urinary incontinence\textsuperscript{21}. This power calculation was based on a former study showing a 67% prevalence reduction of UI in the PFM training group compared with a 34% reduction in the control group with 99 persons in each group\textsuperscript{22}. With 2-sided significance level of .05 and a power of 0.9, a total of 62 women would be needed (31 in each group). Because the study planned for an additional stratified analysis among women with and without major levator ani muscle defects, the statistical advice was to aim for 80 women in each group\textsuperscript{21}. No specific power calculation was done for the present analysis.

**Randomization**

One hundred and seventy-five women (mean age 29.8 ± 4.1) were randomized in blocks of 10 to an exercise- or a control group. The randomization sequence was computer generated and opaque sealed envelopes were used. A project midwife administered the allocation of participants outside the clinical room to keep the outcome assessors blinded to group allocation.
Blinding

The physical therapists and the other assessors were blinded to group allocation when performing the clinical measurements. They were also blinded from the background data collected through the electronic questionnaires.

Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed using SPSS 21/ Review Manager 5.3. Background variables are reported as means with standard deviations (SD) or numbers with percentages. Within- and between-group comparisons of categorical data were analyzed by Chi Square test for independence and Mantel-Haenszel (relative risk ratio). P values < .05 were considered statistically significant. Analyzes were based on intention-to-treat. In the case of missing values and drop-outs, the method of last observation carried forward was used. An additional per protocol analysis was performed based on adherence to more than 80 % of the prescribed training sessions (home- and group training). This analysis also excluded drop-outs and women who were pregnant again at the post intervention visits 6 and 12 months postpartum.

Role of the Funding Source

This study was funded by the Norwegian Research Council, which had no role in the conduct of the study.

RESULTS

Dropouts and Adherence

Of the 175 women who met the inclusion criteria, 87 and 88 women were randomized to the exercise-
and control group, respectively. The number of drop-outs and specific reasons for drop-out are presented in Figure 2.

Ninety-six percent of the women in the exercise group reached an adherence level of 80 %, both for the exercise class and home training.

**Participant Characteristics**

Baseline characteristics are presented in Table 1. Women in the control group had a significantly higher education level and significantly more of these women were married or cohabitating compared to the women in the exercise group. Data from the hospital’s electronic medical birth records showed that the women in the exercise group gave birth to significantly heavier infants compared to the control group. There were no statistical significant differences in any other background data.

**Outcome**

The highest prevalence of DRA was at the umbilicus, compared to measurements above and below the umbilicus, for both groups and at all measuring points. Six weeks postpartum, 51.7 % in the exercise group and 51.1 % in the control group were classified with DRA at the umbilicus, while 24.1 % in the exercise group and 14.8 % in the control group met criteria for DRA measured above the umbilicus and 4.6 % and 3.4 % in the exercise and control group, respectively, did so below the umbilicus. In terms of classification of severity, 52 % of the total sample was classified as mild DRA, 3 % with moderate DRA and none with severe DRA. Table 2 shows classification of severity in the exercise- and control group at all time points.

No significant differences between groups in DRA prevalence were found at baseline, p=1.0 (RR: 1.01 [0.77-1.32]). Within group analysis in both the intervention arm and the control arm showed significant
reduction in the prevalence of DRA (p < 0.01) at 6 and 12 months postpartum. Table 3 shows that there were no statistically significant differences between groups at 6 months p=1.0 (RR: 0.99 [0.71, 1.38]) or at 12 months postpartum p=0.95 (RR: 1.04 [0.73, 1.49]). Per protocol analysis did not alter the results.

**PFM Training**

No differences between groups were found in women that reported performing PFM training 3 times or more per week at baseline (6 weeks postpartum). Through the intervention period 16.5 % women in the control group reported doing PFM training 3 times or more per week.

**Abdominal Training**

The number of women that reported doing self-administered/non-interventional abdominal training before, during and after pregnancy is presented in Table 4. There were no differences between groups in the proportion of women that reported doing abdominal training 2 times or more per week at any time point.

**DISCUSSION**

At 6 weeks postpartum, more than 50 % of the women in each group were categorized as having DRA. We found no significant differences between groups in DRA prevalence at baseline, immediately after the intervention period at 6 months postpartum or at follow-up 12 months postpartum. At 12 months postpartum, about 40 % of this group of primiparous women still had DRA.

**Comparison With Other Studies**

We have only been able to find 4 other published RCTs on the effect of exercise training on DRA\textsuperscript{16-20}. The RCTs differ in the method used to evaluate the diastasis (ultrasound\textsuperscript{18,20} and caliper\textsuperscript{17,19}), the cut-
point used to categorize women with DRA and the location of assessment points along the linea alba. Hence, a direct comparison between studies is not possible. As the ultrasound method to assess inter-rectus distance and DRA was in its early development at the onset of our study, we chose to apply palpation with finger width as the method to assess the condition. This method is the most commonly used assessment method in clinical practice and is widely accepted by patients. In addition, van de Water and Benjamin found the method to be feasible to screen for presence of DRA, which was the main outcome variable in the present RCT. However, today, ultrasound is recommended as a more responsive and reliable method. More detailed information about the width of the inter-rectus distance may reveal differences in response to treatment, and we support the recommendation that ultrasonography should be the method of preference in future studies.

As for the negative results of our RCT, the findings correspond with 2 other RCTs. Only one of the published RCTs found a significant improvement of the exercise group compared to a non-treated control group. In this trial, Mesquita et al. demonstrated a significantly greater reduction in DRA in an intervention group performing 2 individual exercise sessions at 6 and 18 hours after childbirth. The 2 sessions consisted of pelvic tilt with simultaneous contraction of the TrA and PFM in addition to abdominal breathing exercise. Participants were not followed-up after these 2 sessions, hence, the long term effect of this early intervention is not known. Kamel and Jousif found a significantly greater reduction in DRA in a group receiving a combination of abdominal exercises and neuromuscular electrical stimulation. However, they did not compare their intervention with a non-treated control group. The 2 other RCTs did not find a significant difference between groups in reduction of diastasis or in muscular improvement after a combination of PFM and abdominal exercises and abdominal exercises alone.
Abdominal and PFM Training

According to Keeler et al\textsuperscript{11} women's health physical therapists use several interventions, often in combination, in treatment of DRA. Until now TrA training, PFM exercises and the Noble technique have been the most commonly used exercises. The choice of different abdominal exercises is a logical choice when the aim is to close the DRA and abdominal exercises have always been part of this comprehensive exercise program. There is evidence from several studies assessing the influence of PFM contraction on the abdominals that PFM contraction causes co-contraction of different abdominal muscles\textsuperscript{15}. Hence, PFM contraction could be expected to provide a training effect for the TrA. TrA training is a logical treatment for DRA. An exercise class once a week may be considered an insufficient dosage of supervised training to restore the DRA\textsuperscript{30}. However, the most common frequency of training for women postpartum has been reported to be once a week\textsuperscript{11}. In addition, the women participating in the present study did 3 sets of PFM training every day for 4 months and their adherence to the exercise protocol was high\textsuperscript{21}. We suggest that if PFM/TrA training is indeed effective in closing the diastasis, this program should have shown positive results. However, our results showed no effect on the condition.

In new knowledge emerging from experimental studies by our research group\textsuperscript{31,32} the drawing-in exercise, mostly activating TrA and the internal oblique muscles, tended to widen instead of narrow the inter-recti-distance. This was also confirmed in a recent study by Lee and Hodges\textsuperscript{33}. They postulated a new hypothesis that contraction of the PFM with co-activation of the TrA may tighten the linea alba and hence be important for the function of the abdominal wall. For the time being this hypothesis needs further investigation, and to date there are no RCTs to support this suggested training protocol in clinical practice. We would argue that for women with DRA, the main goal is to close the diastasis and that this has been, and is, the expected outcome of exercise training interventions for this prevalent condition.
following pregnancy and childbirth. Given the few RCTs in this area, low methodological quality of the published studies and scant knowledge from basic studies on how to close the diastasis, there is not yet enough evidence to guide clinical practice.

**Strengths and Limitations**

Strengths of the present study are the randomized design, blinding of the assessors, control of ability to perform a correct PFM contraction and the sample size, which is by far the largest in RCTs reporting results on DRA. In addition, we included a homogeneous group of primiparous women, and the women demonstrated high adherence to the exercise program. Limitations are lack of power calculation for DRA as an outcome, and that 2 different physical therapists conducted the assessment. A post-hoc power calculation of the results of the present study showed that thousands of women would be needed to show a statistically significant difference, a small difference that most likely is not clinically relevant. Hence, one could argue that the study was not underpowered. Palpation, using finger widths, has been shown to have lower inter- than intra-rarer reliability and this may have influenced the results.

However, the inter-rater reliability has been found to be moderate, and the 2 physical therapists were thoroughly trained to assess DRA. The assessment procedure was also standardized with the aim to minimize inaccuracy. Use of palpation and finger width to determine the outcome measure limits our ability to report the differences between groups to only "diastasis or no diastasis". Ultrasonography would have enabled measurement of the inter-rectus distance and provided more detailed information. Another limitation is that our sample included women with and without DRA, and among those assessed to have DRA most of them had mild and moderate diastases. Hence, an effect may have been found if women with more severe diastases had been included. Due to the inclusion of multiple exercises in the exercise program, if the program had worked, it would not be possible to determine which of the exercises might have been responsible. Our study sample consisted of women able to speak and
understand Scandinavian languages, which, most likely caused a selection of participants which also limits generalization of our results.

**Conclusion**

A weekly comprehensive exercise program with focus on strength training of the PFM and with additional daily home training of the PFM, was not effective in reducing the prevalence of DRA. Further high quality RCTs with interventions applying different abdominal exercises with higher training dosage and head to head comparisons of different abdominal exercise programs with or without PFM exercises are needed before evidence based recommendations for clinical practice can be given.
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Figure 1. Illustration of the abdominal exercises performed by the intervention group: (1) draw-in (“on all fours”), (2) draw-in (prone), (3) half-plank, (4) side-plank, (5) oblique sit-up, (6) straight sit-up. Reproduced with the permission of the first author, Sandra L. Gluppe.
Figure 2. Flow diagram.

Table 1.

Baseline Characteristics of Included Women at Pretest 6 Weeks Postpartum

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Total Sample (n = 175)</th>
<th>Exercise Group (n = 87)</th>
<th>Control Group (n = 88)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, y, mean (SD)</td>
<td>29.8 (4.1)</td>
<td>29.5 (4.3)</td>
<td>30.1 (4.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI postpartum, kg/m^2, mean (SD)</td>
<td>25.7 (4.0)</td>
<td>26.0 (4.1)</td>
<td>25.3 (3.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child’s birth weight, g, mean (SD)</td>
<td>3462.5 (454.2)</td>
<td>3543.7 (482.3)</td>
<td>3382.3 (411.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College/university</td>
<td>143 (81.7)</td>
<td>64 (73.6)</td>
<td>79 (89.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>Group 1 (n)</td>
<td>Group 2 (n)</td>
<td>Group 3 (n)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary school/high school/other</td>
<td>32 (18.3)</td>
<td>23 (26.4)</td>
<td>9 (10.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civil status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married/cohabitating</td>
<td>166 (94.9)</td>
<td>80 (92.0)</td>
<td>86 (97.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>9 (5.1)</td>
<td>7 (8.0)</td>
<td>2 (2.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women with DRA</td>
<td>96 (54.9)</td>
<td>48 (55.2)</td>
<td>48 (54.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy lifting&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perform heavy lifting</td>
<td>99 (56.9)</td>
<td>56 (64.4)</td>
<td>43 (49.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rarely/never perform heavy lifting</td>
<td>75 (43.1)</td>
<td>31 (35.6)</td>
<td>44 (50.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypermobility (Beighton test)&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypermobile</td>
<td>18 (10.5)</td>
<td>11 (12.6)</td>
<td>7 (8.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not hypermobile</td>
<td>153 (89.5)</td>
<td>76 (87.4)</td>
<td>77 (91.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breastfeeding&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breastfeeding</td>
<td>160 (92.5)</td>
<td>80 (94.1)</td>
<td>80 (90.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not breastfeeding</td>
<td>13 (7.5)</td>
<td>5 (5.9)</td>
<td>8 (9.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity for at least 30 min&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 3 times/wk</td>
<td>49 (28.3)</td>
<td>20 (23.5)</td>
<td>29 (33.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 3 times/wk</td>
<td>124 (71.7)</td>
<td>65 (76.5)</td>
<td>59 (67.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Some of the data were previously published<sup>21</sup>. Values are presented as numbers (percentages) of women unless otherwise indicated. BMI = body mass index, DRA = diastasis recti abdominis.<br><sup>b</sup>Total n = 174; data for 1 woman in the control group were missing (valid percentages are reported.)
Total n = 171; data for 4 women in the control group were missing (valid percentages are reported).

Total n = 173; data for 2 women in the exercise group were missing (valid percentages are reported).

Table 2.

Number of Women Classified With Normal, Mild, Moderate, and Severe Diastasis Recti Abdominis (DRA)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DRA Level of Severity</th>
<th>No. (%) of Women</th>
<th>6 wk Postpartum (n = 175)</th>
<th>6 mo Postpartum (n = 160)</th>
<th>12 mo Postpartum (n = 155)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Exercise Group (n = 87)</td>
<td>Control Group (n = 88)</td>
<td>Exercise Group (n = 75)</td>
<td>Control Group (n = 85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None (normal)</td>
<td>39 (44.8)</td>
<td>40 (45.5)</td>
<td>44 (58.7)</td>
<td>47 (55.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>44 (50.6)</td>
<td>47 (53.4)</td>
<td>28 (37.3)</td>
<td>38 (44.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>4 (4.6)</td>
<td>1 (1.1)</td>
<td>3 (4.0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aData were missing for 15 women (12 in the exercise group and 3 in the control group) (valid percentages are reported).

bData were missing for 20 women (13 in the exercise group and 7 in the control group) (valid percentages are reported).

cNew pregnancy.
Table 3.

Number of Women Classified With Diastasis Recti Abdominis (DRA) and Without DRA<sup>a</sup>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>No. (%) of Women</th>
<th>6 wk Postpartum</th>
<th>6 mo Postpartum</th>
<th>12 mo Postpartum</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Exercise Group (n = 87)</td>
<td>Control Group (n = 88)</td>
<td>Exercise Group (n = 87)</td>
<td>Control Group (n = 88)</td>
<td>Exercise Group (n = 87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With DRA</td>
<td>48 (55.2)</td>
<td>48 (54.5)</td>
<td>38 (43.7)</td>
<td>39 (44.3)</td>
<td>36 (41.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Without DRA</td>
<td>39 (44.8)</td>
<td>40 (45.5)</td>
<td>49 (56.3)</td>
<td>49 (55.7)</td>
<td>51 (58.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> P values indicate differences between groups in an intention-to-treat analysis.
Table 4.

Number of Women Reporting Doing Self-Administered/Noninterventional Abdominal Exercises Two or More Times Per Week

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>No. (%) of Women</th>
<th></th>
<th>P&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Sample</td>
<td>Exercise Group</td>
<td>Control Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n = 175)</td>
<td>(n = 87)</td>
<td>(n = 88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before pregnancy&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>60 (34.5)</td>
<td>30 (34.5)</td>
<td>30 (34.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>During pregnancy (week 22)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>21 (12.1)</td>
<td>10 (11.5)</td>
<td>11 (12.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 wk postpartum&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>26 (15.0)</td>
<td>12 (14.1)</td>
<td>14 (15.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 mo postpartum&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>28 (17.5)</td>
<td>12 (16.0)</td>
<td>16 (18.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 mo postpartum&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>28 (18.1)</td>
<td>12 (16.2)</td>
<td>16 (19.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> For exercise group vs control group.

<sup>b</sup> Total n = 174; data were missing for 1 woman in the control group (valid percentages are reported).

<sup>c</sup> Total n = 173; data were missing for 2 women in the exercise group (valid percentages are reported).

<sup>d</sup> Total n = 160; data were missing for 15 women (12 in the exercise group and 3 in the control group) (valid percentages are reported).

<sup>e</sup> Total n = 155; data were missing for 20 women (13 in the exercise group and 7 in the control group) (valid percentages are reported).